

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

,tet				74
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	т	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/136-820	08/19/98	SIMON	- 4	

MMC2/0119

BACHMAN & LAPOINTE SUITE 1201 900 CHAPEL STREET NEW HAVEN CT 06510-2802

	AMINER
1 -14-11-11-11-1	
<u> </u>	
ART LINIT	PAPER NUMBER

01/19/01

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/136,820**

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Nicholas Ponomarenko

Group Art Unit 2834

Simon



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 12, 2000	·
This action is FINAL.	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to solve longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is Eapproved Edisapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	f the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	-(-)
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	O(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	18
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	,
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON 1	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility.

The disclosed invention contradicts the principle of the conservation of energy. The disclosed device supposedly will output useful energy or perform useful work without any external input of energy, which is in conflict with the principle of the conservation of energy.

Doctrine or principle of the conservation of energy.

If the boundary considered includes the universe, the principle of the conservation of energy amounts to a statement that the sum total of the energy of the universe is a fixed unalterable quantity.

The principle of the conservation of energy also denies the possibility of "perpetual motion." By "perpetual motion" is meant the devising of some arrangement so that energy in one form can be produced without energy in some other form being used up by the machine. Thus if an engine could be made to do work on external bodies for an indefinite time, and thus give out

Art Unit: 2834

energy, without being supplied with energy from without, or diminishing the stock of energy in all its various forms which it originally possessed, we should have a means of creating energy, and this is in direct contradiction to the principle of the conservation of energy.

When a patent applicant presents an application describing an invention that contradicts known scientific principles, or relies on previously undiscovered scientific phenomenon, the burden is on the examiner simply to point out this fact to the appellant... The burden shifts to appellant to demonstrate either that his invention, as claimed, is operable or does not violate basic scientific principles, or that those basic scientific principles are incorrect. As stated by the Patent Office Board of Appeals, Newman v. Quigg 681 F.Supp 16, at18, 5 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1880(1988).

Applicant is required to furnish a working model of his invention in order to demonstrate its operability. See MPEP § 608.03.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed with Amendment A have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's amendment and arguments have not changed the working structural elements of the earlier rejected claims, and clarified or enabled the external power

Art Unit: 2834

source, which is still not present. The applicant just stated that on page 13, lines 22-29, a source of *external* power is disclosed, which is not true.

Conclusion

4. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the parent application prior to the filing of this Continued Prosecution Application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action.

Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing under 37 CFR 1.53(d). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2834

- 5. When the claims are amended, applicant(s) should state in detail where in the original disclosure or in the drawings the amended features find support. No new matter may be introduced.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Nicholas Ponomarenko** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1776**.
- 7. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, Mon-Fri, 8 am-530 pm

Phone: (703) 308-0956 Fax: (703) 305-3431

> Nicholas Ponomarenko Primary Examiner Art Unit 2834

np January 17, 2001